

1
2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
3 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

4
5 TOMAHAWK MANUFACTURING, INC.,
6 et al.,

7 Plaintiff(s),

8 v.

9 SPHERICAL INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,

10 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:23-cv-01007-APG-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 215]

11 Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion to modify discovery deadlines. Docket No.
12 215. The motion was filed without conferring with the defense. *See id.* at 4. At this juncture, the
13 Court finds that further efforts at conferral are warranted.¹ Accordingly, the motion is **DENIED**
14 without prejudice. Any renewed request must be filed by March 26, 2024.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: March 19, 2024

17 
18 _____
19 Nancy J. Koppe
20 United States Magistrate Judge
21
22
23
24

25 ¹ The motion does not comply with the requirements of Local Rule 26-3, including
26 identifying the discovery taken to date and specifying the remaining discovery. Moreover, the
27 motion misunderstands the governing standard in asserting that good cause need not be shown for
28 the request since it was filed more than 21 days before the subject deadline. Fed. R. Civ. P.
16(b)(4) (the scheduling order "may be modified *only for good cause*" (emphasis added)); *see also*
Local Rule 26-3 ("A motion or stipulation to extend any date set by the discovery plan, scheduling
order, or other order *must*, in addition to satisfying the requirements of LR IA 6-1, *be supported*
by a showing of good cause for the extension" (emphasis added)).